

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/796,585		03/09/2004 John O'Dca		98-58 C1	1115	
30031	7590	10/16/2006		EXAN	EXAMINER	
		S, INTELLECTU	EREZO, DARWIN P			
RESPIRONI 1010 MURR	•	LANE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MURRYSV	ILLE, PA	15668	3731			

DATE MAILED: 10/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)						
Darwin P. Erezo  3731  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  E Mensions of time may be available under the provision of 37 CPR 1.13(e). In no even, however, may a reply be limely filed after 50k (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statistory period will apply and will expire 3X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply viib, y statuta, cause the application to become ASANCONED (35 U.S.C. § 139).  Failures to reply viible the set of the communication, even if through fleet, may reduce any examed patent term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Office Action Summary			10/796,585 O'		D'DEA, JOHN					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Statemizer of time may be variable under the proximal statutory period in the proximal of the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maining alter of pink communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended pariod for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any searned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,79(b).  Status  1)				er	Art Unit						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum distultory period will apply and voll apple SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum distultory period will apply and vall apple SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum distultory period will apply and vall apple SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become ABANGONED (3S U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any searmed patient form adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  - Status  1)			·								
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be variable under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(e). In one-th-nowever, may a reply be timely field after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Faince to reply which the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). The provision of 3 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			ication appears on t	he cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	dress					
1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on	WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum street to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATION  Invent, however, may a reply be tir  will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  oplication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed hthe mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 17-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 17-30 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/831,388. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	Status			•							
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 17-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 17-30 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/831,388. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	1)□	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 17-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/831,388. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	· —	·	·	non-final							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 17-30 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 17-30 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/831,388.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	/		· —		osecution as to the	e merits is					
A) Claim(s) 17-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/831,388. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage											
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on o9 _March _2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No09/831,388.  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	Dispositi	·	·								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on o9 _March _2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No09/831,388.  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	4) 🖂	Claim(s) 17-30 is/are pending in the	application.								
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on @ March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 09/831,388.  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	•										
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 March 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/831,388</u> .  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	5)										
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 March 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/831,388</u> .  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	6)⊠										
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on O9 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/831,388.  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/831,388.  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	requirement.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 March 2004</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/831,388</u> .  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	Applicati	on Papers .		•							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/831,388.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/831,388.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	10)🛛										
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/831,388.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s	be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/831,388.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/831,388.  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	TO-152.					
<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/831,388.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>	Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/831,388</u>.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>											
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).											
		• •		• • • •							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	* 8	see the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the ce	titled copies not receive	ea.						
Attachment(s)				Δ [] Int	(DTO 440)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date			PTO-948)	4) interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	/ (PTO-413) late						
	3) 🛛 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	,	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal I							

Art Unit: 3731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 7/19/04 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

## **Priority**

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/831388.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,551,419 to Froehlich et al.

(claim 27) Froehlich discloses an apparatus for relieving dyspnea, or breathlessness, comprising:

a gas flow generating system 12 adapted to provide a flow of gas;

monitoring means **16** for monitoring a characteristic associated with a breathing cycle of a subject;

controlling means 17 for controlling the pressure of the flow of gas by the gas flow generating system;

Art Unit: 3731

a patient interface 11;

exhausting means 13 operatively coupled to the patient interface, wherein the exhausting means includes a valve operable under control of the controlling means (via 20).

Page 3

(claim 28) Froehlich discloses the exhausting means comprising a controllable pressure regulating valve controlled by the controlling means 17.

(claim 29) Froehlich discloses a blower 12 that is controlled by the controller 17.

## **Double Patenting**

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 17-28 and 30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S.

Page 4

Patent No. 6,705,314. For double patenting to exist between the rejected claims and the patented claims, it must be determined that the rejected claims are not patentably distinct from the patented claims. In order to make this determination, it first must be determined whether there are any differences between the rejected claims and the recited patented claims and, if so, whether those differences render the claims patentably distinct.

Claim 17 recites an apparatus for relieving dyspnea comprising a gas flow monitoring system, a monitoring means, and a controlling means for determining an average intrinsic PEEP and for controlling the gas flow generating system such that a pressure of the flow of gas delivered to the subject during at least a portion of the expiratory phase of the breathing cycle substantially corresponds to the average intrinsic PEEP.

It is clear that all the elements of claim 17 are to be found in claim 1 of the patent. The difference between claim 17 of the application and claim 1 of the patent lies in the fact that the patent claim includes additional elements and is thus much more specific. Thus the invention of claim 1 of the patent is in effect a "species" of the "generic" invention of claim 17 of the application. It has been held that the generic invention is "anticipated" by the "species". See In re Goodman, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Since claim 17 of the application is anticipated by claim 1 of the patent, it is not patentably distinct.

As to claim 18, see claim 2 of the patent.

As to claims 19 and 26, see claim 10 of the patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,585

Art Unit: 3731

As to claim 20, see claim 4 of the patent.

As to claim 21, see claim 5 of the patent.

As to claim 22, see claim 6 of the patent.

As to claim 23, see claim 7 of the patent.

As to claim 24, see claim 8 of the patent.

As to claim 25, see claim 9 of the patent.

As to claim 27, see claim 11 of the patent. The claim of the patent is again a "species" of the "generic" invention of claim 27.

As to claim 28, see claim 12.

As to claim 30, see claim 13 of the patent. The claim of the patent is again a "species" of the "generic" invention of claim 30.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erezo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,585

Art Unit: 3731

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 6

Art Unit 3731

de